

SCEDIT Meeting Minutes 2025-05-09

Attendees: Victoria, Cynthia, Alaa, Shyam, Matt, Rose, Keri, Diana, Simeon, Moshe, Christopher, Yersson, Scott,

Meeting convened at 3:00 PM

Updates

- **Coordinating Council Retreat:** Co-chair to attend with a committee member.
- **Polling Solutions:** A contract was signed for a polling solution called DeBox. DoIT & CELT representatives will work to set it up and inform faculty in the fall.
- **Feedback Fruits:** CELT and SCEDIT are looking into a product called "Feedback Fruits" and will proceed if it passes accessibility and info security reviews. There was interest in the peer review and interactive video features. A member noted that it lacks a draft comparison feature, which is a key requirement for writing faculty. A different member suggested that the tool could be used to create modules for writing-intensive classes that are not in the writing program.
- **Digication:** Usage of Digication has significantly decreased. DoIT rep has been in talks about limiting access to the tool for the next year to determine if it should be sunset. A member mentioned the directory was the primary reason for needing Digication in the first place.
- **Syllabus Repository:** The syllabus repository was decommissioned in May 2024 due to its outdated system. Student government asked why it was decommissioned, stating that students were using it for various reasons, including when they transferred.
 - The syllabus repository could be either a simple repository or a templated version. The template would have benefits such as streamlining the review process and compliance with required statements. The Senate's approval would be needed for a repository, and there might be faculty rights issues. It was suggested that if the university does not provide a repository, students will create their own.

Filling Vacancies

- There is a pool of people who could fill the professional position from the former committee before changes were made.
- It was suggested that an HSC representative was needed.
- It was noted that this position has to be on the ballot for non-faculty.
- Encourage someone to intentionally fill the gap.
- There has also been a discussion about adding more non-voting members from the IT department.
- There is also a need for a graduate student representative.
- The social sciences are underrepresented on committees.

AI and Academic Integrity

- An issue was raised regarding students being falsely accused of using AI by professors. He noted a misalignment between students who want to learn how to use AI and faculty who view it as cheating.
- It was mentioned that the academic judiciary office has been fighting against the lack of due process for these students. It was stated that if professors suspect a student of cheating, they cannot fail them solely based on suspicion. Someone else noted that some professors are just giving zeros without due process.
- Giving zeros for suspected cheating is a violation of AJC policy.
- The academic judiciary office keeps detailed statistics, but not for cases that are not officially reported.
- A member said that an accusation without a zero is also a problem.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:59 PM.