Minutes Undergraduate Council (UGC) meeting 11.16.20 Prepared by Debbie Zelizer

In attendance at Zoom meeting: Hanna Nekvasil, Norm Goodman, Brenda Anderson, Christine Pitocco, Deb Serling, Arlene Feldman, Kevin McDonnell, Jennifer Dellaposta, Debbie Zelizer, Diane Bello, Shellie Germana, Peter Gergen, Jackie Rowe

Invited guest: Kane Gillespie, Director of Academic Assessment		
Agenda Items	Notes	
Acceptance of the minutes	Hanna called for a vote to accept the minutes from 10.19.20	
	Minutes were accepted.	
Update on Committee Leadership	The Exec Council replaced Roy Lacey as chair of the UGC with Hanna Nekvasil (formerly co-chair of the UG) and asked Hanna to remain Chair until end of S2021.	
	Election for new chair will be held spring 2021, Hanna will mentor new chair fall 2022.	
Old Business: UGC response to SOMAS MOU with Pace.	Hanna shared a draft version of the UGC response to SOMAS proposed MOU with Pace. Comments were solicited from the committee: More explicit language about curriculum mapping and Stop Out Program Completion Plan should be included in the	
	response. Action Plans:	
	 Hanna and Zelizer will revise the draft response, Hanna will send the response again to committee members for comment. Once approved by the committee, Hanna will send the document to the Exec Council with this statement: The UCG recommends that the Exec Council ensure the issues raised by the UGC are promptly and sufficiently addressed before a MOU is signed by the campus. 	
Old Business: Raising the credit limit during winter semester	Shelley and Diane presented a brief summary of the plan: Allow students to take up to 8 credits during the 3-week winter semester. There is no specific policy in the Undergraduate Bulletin limiting the credits taken by SBU students on campus; however, there is a policy limiting the transfer of credits from outside SBU to SBU during winter to 4 credits. • Increasing the credit load from 4 to 8 for the winter will allow students to make up larger credit deficits.	
	For example, the NY Excelsior Scholarship requires students to earn no less than 30-credits each academic	

year. Once a student falls below 30, they permanently lose the scholarship. This credit limit change would help this student population.

• Increasing the credit load from 4 to 8 for the winter would also generate tuition revenue.

Discussion/Questions/Comments:

- How realistic is it for students to take 8 credits? How long are students in class over those 3 weeks?
 - For a 3 credit course, 60 hours of class time are required, which does not include reading/studying/assignments, etc. Students are in class either mornings or afternoons TU-Friday. For a 4 credit lab course, students are in class all day, TU-Friday. This makes it unrealistic for students to take 2 courses with lab to get those 8 credits.
 - Perhaps a student can handle 6 credits of lower divisions course (i.e., SBC) over the winter. We are setting students up for failure if we approve 8 credits of 300 level or lab courses over the winter.
- Will there be a GPA or petition process?
 - Currently a petition process is in place to increase the credit load of winter courses above 4 credits. Petition requires a 2.5 or higher GPA.
 - o If this were to be approved there would need to be a petition process that requires major advising and major advisor approval. GPA threshold of 2.5 or higher should be a condition as well.
- Perhaps the petition could be accessed through a google form.
- How would we handle students from outside SBU if they want to take winter courses here at SBU – we robustly market winter courses to outside students.
 - Could the petition process be waived for outside students if credit load is increased?
- There are not enough courses offered during the fall and spring – we must remember that TAP does not cover winter courses. We should not make students feel they must take winter courses.
 - There seems to be an inordinate number of course reserves for spring 2021.
 - One committee member shared: My department offers a 500-person class – now that we are remote instruction, I had to hire more TAs and lower enrollment to 400. I need those course reserves for my majors.

 Another member shared: There are fewer 100-200 level courses being offered in the spring – perhaps that is why the course reserves seem to have increased.

<u>UGC approved</u> – SBU students would be required to petition to take credit load over 4 to a max of 8 credits, students need to meet with major advisor and get approval from advisor to take 5-8 credits. There would be no petition process for students outside of SBU – the 8 credits would automatically be approved.

New Business: Revising the SBC Learning Objectives

Kane wanted to keep the committee apprised of the SBC review process, share assessment data from the faculty survey, and discuss proposed revisions to SBC Learning Outcomes effective winter/spring 2021.

A survey was sent to faculty teaching SBC courses. The response rate was 47% with 495 qualitative comments. This was interpreted as strong faculty engagement in the assessment process since typical response rate on faculty surveys is 2-7%.

Kane review the data and explained that the improvement recommendations fell into three categories:

- 1. Achieve consistent taxonomical levels relative to Bloom's taxonomy,
- 2. Consolidate verbs or verb anchors into one measurable verb where possible,
- 3. Split multi-verb outcomes into separate outcomes.

The proposed changes to the SBC LOs were minor and did not change the scope or nature of the course.

Discussion:

- GLO LO- the data might be skewed depending on how the faculty interpret the LO (world view or exploration of an individual society)
- EXP+ LO- reflection is a critical element of experiential learning but for some types of experiences it is not feasible to have the student reflect before, during, and after the experience.
- EXP+ LO How does/can a faculty member assess deep reflection?
- It appears that some SBC courses are underfunded (i.e., SPK, WRTD, ART, LANG, EXP+).
- Kane requested that our committee review the proposed revisions versus debating concepts.

	 The Strategic Budget Initiative findings might yield broad changes to the SBCs. SUNY review of substantive changes to the general education curriculum is a multi-year process.
UGC Meeting Schedule	Hanna discussed our meeting schedule: Our meeting schedule is in disarray - University Senate and Arts and Sciences Senate meetings are scheduled now on back-to back Mondays, leaving the UGC to either have one meeting a month, meetings on back-to-back Mondays for two meetings a month, or one regularly scheduled meeting and impromptu meetings when required. She proposed for the remainder of the semester, to schedule one formal meeting per month, via ZOOM, and if a need arises we schedule other meetings, as was done for our meeting with the Interim Provost.